Aktuelle pensumbidrag for de tre utdanningene

Agirre, X. (2020). The Contribution of Analysis to the Quality Control in Criminal Investigation. I X. Agirre, M. Bergsmo, S. De Smet & C. Stahn (Red.), *Qualitty control in criminal investigation* (s. 117-272). Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations

Agirre, X. & Bergsmo, M. (2020). Investigative Bottlenecks and the Mindset of Quality Control. I X. Agirre, M. Bergsmo, S. De Smet & C. Stahn (Red.), *Qualitty control in criminal investigation*. Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations (Kap. 1 (1.1 – 1.5)).

Aitken, C., Roberts, P. & Jackson, G. (2010). *Fundamentals of probability and statistical evidence in criminal proceedings: guidance for judges, lawyers, forensic scientists and expert witnesses*.

Anderson, T., Schum, D. & Twining, W. (2005). *Analysis of evidence* (2. utg.). Cambridge University Press. Kap. 2-6 og 8-10.

Bjerknes, O. T. & Fahsing, I. A. (2018). *Etterforskning : prinsipper, metoder og praksis*. Fagbokforlaget. Kap. 4.

Cook, R., Evett, I. W., Jackson, G., Jones, P. & Lambert, J. A. (1998). A model for case assessment and interpretation. *Science and Justice*, *38*(3), 151-156.

Dahlman, C. (2020). De-biasing legal fact-finders with Bayesian thinking. *Topics in cognitive science*, *12*(4), 1115-1131.

De Smet, S. (2020). Controlling the Quality of Reasoning About the Link Between Evidence and Factual Findings. I X. Agirre, M. Bergsmo, S. De Smet & C. Stahn (Red.), *Qualitty control in criminal investigation* (s. 611-637). Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations

Dhami, M. K., Belton, I. K. & Mandel, D. R. (2019). The "analysis of competing hypotheses" in intelligence analysis. *Applied cognitive psychology*, *33*(6), 1080-1090. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3550

Dror, I. E. (2011). The paradox of human expertise: why experts get it wrong. I N. Kapur, A. Pascual-Leone, V. Ramachandran, J. Cole, S. D. Sala, T. Manly, A. Mayes & O. Sacks (Red.), *The Paradoxical Brain* (s. 177-188). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511978098.011

Dror, I. E. (2020). Cognitive and human factors in expert decision making: Six fallacies and the eight sources of bias. *Analytical Chemistry*, *92*(12), 7998-8004. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00704

Eide, E. (2016). *Bevisvurdering: usikkerhet og sannsynlighet*. Cappelen Damm akademisk. Kap. 2-6.

Evett, I. W., Jackson, G. & Lambert, J. (2000). More on the hierarchy of propositions: exploring the distinction between explanations and propositions. *Science & justice: journal of the Forensic Science Society*, *40*(1), 3-10.

Georgiou, N., Morgan, R. M. & French, J. C. (2020). Conceptualising, evaluating and communicating uncertainty in forensic science: Identifying commonly used tools through an interdisciplinary configurative review. *Science & Justice*, *60*(4), 313-336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2020.04.002

Hald, C. & Rønn, K. V. (2013). *Om at opdage : metodiske refleksioner over politiets undersøgelsespraksis*. Samfunnslitteratur. (Kap. 7).

Heuer, R. J. (1999). *Psychology of Intelligence Analysis*. Center for the Study of Intelligence. https://books.google.no/books?id=rRXFhKAiG8gC (Kapi. 1-3 og 9-13).

Kolflaath, E. (2013). *Bevisbedømmelse i praksis*. Fagbokforlaget. Kap. 4-7.

Kolflaath, E. (2015). En metode for bevisbedømmelsen i straffesaker. I R. Aarli, M.-A. Hadlund & S. E. Jebens (Red.), *Bevis i straffesaker: Utvalgte emner*. Gyldendal juridisk.

Kolflaath, E. (2019). Hypoteser i etterforskningsplaner. I E. H. Olsvik & P. Risan (Red.), *Etterforskning under lupen* (s. 61-127). Politihøgskolen. h[ttps://phs.brage.unit.no/phs-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/2634563/etterforskning_under_lupen.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](https://phs.brage.unit.no/phs-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/2634563/etterforskning_under_lupen.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)

Lentz, L. W. & Sunde, N. (2020). The use of historical call data records as evidence in the criminal justice system – lessons learned from the Danish telecom scandal. *Digital evidence and electronic signature law review*, *18*(2021), 1-17. h[ttps://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14296/deeslr.v18i0.5235](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14296/deeslr.v18i0.5235)

Lidén, M. (2020). Confirmation Bias in Investigations of Core International Crimes: Risk Factors and Quality Control Techniques. I X. Agirre, M. Bergsmo, S. De Smet & C. Stahn (Red.), *Qualitty control in criminal investigation* (s. 461-528). Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher. h[ttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations)

Maegherman, E., Ask, K., Horselenberg, R. & van Koppen, P. J. (2020). Law and order effects: On cognitive dissonance and belief perseverance. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 1-20. h[ttps://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2020.1855268](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2020.1855268)

Magnussen, S. & Teigen, K. H. (2020). Kognitive slagsider og snarveier i vurdering av bevis. *Lov og rett*, *80*(1), 19-42. h[ttps://doi.org/10.18261/issn.1504-3061-2020-01-03](https://doi.org/10.18261/issn.1504-3061-2020-01-03)

McMurry, J. A., Juty, N., Blomberg, N., Burdett, T., Conlin, T., Conte, N., Courtot, M., Deck, J., Dumontier, M. & Fellows, D. K. (2017). Identifiers for the 21st century: How to design, provision, and reuse persistent identifiers to maximize utility and impact of life science data. *PLoS biology*, *15*(6), 1-18. h[ttps://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2001414](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2001414)

Nielsen, C. A. (2020). Analysis of Organisational Structures and Quality Control of Case Development. I X. Agirre, M. Bergsmo, S. De Smet & C. Stahn (Red.), *Qualitty control in criminal investigation* (s. 273-296). Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher. h[ttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345671661_Quality_Control_in_Criminal_Investigations)

Nissen, A. B. (2013). *Veileder: Informasjonsbehandling i store etterforskningssaker*. Kripos.

Pherson, R. H. & Heuer Jr, R. J. (2021). *Structured analtyic techniques for intelligence analysis* (3. utg.). Sage publications. (Kap. 1 (1.1 og 1.2), 2-7 og 9.

Prakken, H. (2020). An argumentation-based analysis of the Simonshaven case. *Topics in cognitive science*, *12*(4), 1068-1091.

Risinger, D. M. (2013). Reservations about likelihood ratios (and some other aspects of forensic 'Bayesianism'). *Law, Probability and Risk*, *12*(1), 63-73.

Roth, W. D. & Mehta, J. D. (2002). The Rashomon effect: Combining positivist and interpretivist approaches in the analysis of contested events. *Sociological methods & research*, *31*(2), 131-173.

Sunde, N. (2020). Min smartmobil er min borg: Smartmobilens rolle i privatlivet og i kommunikasjon. I J. Boucht & A. P. Høgberg (Red.), *Vennebok til Ulf Stridbeck ved hans 70-årsdag*. Institutt for offentlig rett ved det juridiske fakultet UiO.

Sunde, N. & Dror, I. E. (2019). Cognitive and human factors in digital forensics: Problems, challenges, and the way forward. *Digital investigation*, *29*, 101-108. h[ttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2019.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2019.03.011)

Sunde, N. & Horsman, G. (2021). Part 2: The Phase-oriented Advice and Review Structure (PARS) for digital forensic investigations. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, *36*, 16. h[ttps://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsidi.2020.301074](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsidi.2020.301074)

Tecuci, G., Schum, D. A., Marcu, D. & Boicu, M. (2016). *Intelligence Analysis as Discovery of Evidence, Hypotheses, and Arguments: Connecting the Dots*. Cambridge University Press. (Utvalgte kapitler).

Teigen, K. H. (2017). *Terningen er rund: Bedømmingspsykologi i tretten kapitler*. Cappelen Damm Akademisk. (Kap. 1-9).

Thornton, J. I. & Murdock, J. E. (2020). Correspondence: Why is Subjective a Naughty Word? *AFTE Journal*, *52*(1), 3-6.

UNODC. (2011). *Criminal intelligence: Manual for Analysts*. United Nations.

Van Koppen, P. J. & Mackor, A. R. (2020). A scenario approach to the Simonshaven case. *Topics in cognitive science*, *12*(4), 1132-1151.

Andre ressurser:

- Kripos, Nissen & Søreide 2019. Veileder: Politiets system for Operativ kriminalanalyse

- Kripos, Lars Søreide 2019. Veileder: Excel i etterforskning

- Kripos 2020. Indicia Hjelperegisteret

- IBM 2012. i2 iBase Help (Brukermanual)

- IBM 2019. i2 Analyst's Notebook Help (Brukermanual)

- Ockham Solutions 2018. Mercure4 User guide (Brukermanual)

- Borgarting lagmannsrett_Kjennelse 26.09.18_saksnr 17-170785AST-BORG/03_Bruk av hjelpedokumenter i retten